UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,399	10/22/2003	Daniel J. Cook	14/1453US	6819
	7590 01/04/200° & FINGERSH, LC		EXAM	INER
ATTN: BOX II	P DEPT.		SELLS, J	AMES D
500 NORTH B SUITE 2000	500 NORTH BROADWAY SUITE 2000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, MO	0 63102		1734	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		01/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/691,399 COOK, DANIEL J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Sells	1734
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	3 August 2006.	
,	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		•
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ c	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con-	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	*	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bur	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	rapaiyad
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ust of the certified copies not	received.
ttachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-22-03.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,399

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-36 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-40 of copending Application No. 10/691,348. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the limitations of applicant's claims 1-36 are contained within or made obvious by claims 1-40 of copending Application No. 10/691,348.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/691,399 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

References

3. References A-C are cited as prior art of interest.

Telephone/Fax

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

James Sells Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700